## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/425,694

Charlotte A. Brown

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1765

Brunner et al.



| **************************************   |   |
|--|---|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe  | ersonnel):  |
| (1) Charlotte A. Brown   | (3)   |
| (2) Ed Freeman   | (4)   |
| Date of Interview Jul 12, 2001   |   |
| Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2   | 2) applicant's representative]  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes e   | No. If yes, brief description:  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1  |   |
| Identification of prior art discussed:  Pirooz (EP 0701275)  |   |
| any other comments:  | nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or  |
| and 'an aqueous HCl solution only containing HCl' were dis-  | cussed which were asserted to distinguish over the prior art.   |
|  |   |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) \( \begin{align*} \begin{align*} It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the summary thereof must be attached.)  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP) | dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST (SEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE and of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.